

1 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990)
2 United States Attorney

3 BRIAN STRETCH (CABN 163973)
4 Chief, Criminal Division

5 DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052)
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
8 San Francisco, California 94102
9 Telephone: (415) 436-7359
10 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
11 denise.barton@usdoj.gov

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR 07-0428 MMC
18 Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
19 v.) EXCLUDING TIME
20 PAUL LEUNG,)
21 Defendant.)
22 _____)

23 On July 12, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that
24 time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from July 12, 2007 to August 15,
25 2007 for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel, in that defense counsel
26 requires time to review discovery and counsel for all parties were unavailable on certain days
27 between July 12, 2007 and August 15, 2007. The parties represented that granting the
28 continuance was necessary for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel, taking
into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

29 SO STIPULATED:

1
2
3
4 DATED: August 15, 2007
5
6
7
8
9

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
United States Attorney

/s/
DENISE MARIE BARTON
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: August 15, 2007
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

/s/
MATTHEW A. SIROKA
Attorney for PAUL LEUNG

10 As the Court found on July 12, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds
11 good cause, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases that
12 time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from July 12, 2007 to August 15,
13 2007 for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161
14 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time
15 necessary for effective preparation and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of
16 due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

17 SO ORDERED.
18
19

DATED: _____
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

James Larson
United States Chief Magistrate Judge